



Statement of Particulars Dee Flood Risk Management Plan

FINAL

September 2015

Natural Resources Wales

Our purpose is to ensure that the natural resources of Wales are sustainably maintained, used and enhanced, now and in the future

We will work for the communities of Wales to protect people and their homes as much as possible from environmental incidents like flooding and pollution. We will provide opportunities for them to learn, use and benefit from Wales' natural resources

We will work for Wales' economy and enable the sustainable use of natural resources to support jobs & enterprise. We will help businesses and developers to understand and consider environmental limits when they make important decisions.

We will work to maintain and improve the quality of the environment for everyone. We will work towards making the environment and natural resources more resilient to climate change and other pressures.

Environment Agency

We are the Environment Agency. We protect and improve the environment and make it a better place for people and wildlife.

We operate at the place where environmental change has its greatest impact on people's lives. We reduce the risks to people and properties from flooding; make sure there is enough water for people and wildlife; protect and improve air, land and water quality and apply the environmental standards within which industry can operate.

Acting to reduce climate change and helping people and wildlife adapt to its consequences are at the heart of all that we do.

We cannot do this alone. We work closely with a wide range of partners including government, business, local authorities, other agencies, civil society groups and the communities we serve.

1. Introduction

The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Regulations) requires that a Statement of Particulars is made available as soon as reasonably practicable after the adoption of the plan. This statement must demonstrate:

- How environmental considerations have been integrated into the Dee Flood Risk Management Plan (FRMP) (Section 2 of this document);
- 2. How the Environmental Report has been taken into account (Section 3);
- 3. How opinions expressed in response to the consultation on the Environmental Report have been taken into account (**Section 4**);
- 4. The reasons for choosing the Dee FRMP, as adopted, in the light of the other reasonable alternatives dealt with (**Section 5**); and
- 5. The measures that are to be taken to monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of the FRMP (**Section 6**).

Flood risk management plans

The European Floods Directive, transposed into law for England and Wales through the Flood Risk Regulations 2009 Require the production of flood risk management plans (FRMPs) on a 6 yearly cycle.

The draft Dee FRMP set out proposed measures for managing flood risk in those communities considered at greatest risk in Wales and across operational catchments in the English part of the Dee River Basin District. This was published between 10th October 2014 and 31st January 2015. The environmental report documenting the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) that was undertaken of the draft plan was published alongside it.

The Environment Agency and Natural Resources Wales have developed a set of 8 overarching objectives for the Dee FRMP:

- 1. Reduce the risk and impact of flooding on people and communities (from main rivers, reservoirs and the sea).
- 2. Increase resilience of services, assets and infrastructure to the risk of flooding

- 3. Improve understanding of flood risk so that decisions are based upon the best available information.
- 4. Improve community awareness and resilience to flooding.
- 5. Provide an effective and sustained response to flood events.
- 6. Allocate funding and resources for all sources of flooding on a risk basis.
- 7. Incorporate and promote an integrated approach to flood risk management, working with natural processes at a catchment scale, to provide multiple benefits to people and the environment.
- 8. Incorporate climate change adaption into all aspects of flood risk management.

2. How environmental considerations have been integrated into the Dee Flood Risk Management Plan?

The purpose of Natural Resources Wales is to ensure that the natural resources of Wales are sustainably maintained, enhanced and used, now and in the future. As a result, Natural Resources Wales adopts a number of practices to ensure that flood risk management work is sustainable. This delivers joint benefits for the people and environment of Wales and contributes towards a more flood resilient Wales now and for the future.

The Dee FRMP has been developed to describe the measures we propose to take to manage the risk of flooding to people, the environment and economic activity across the Dee River Basin District. This in itself is beneficial, however, in doing so there is the potential for wider environmental effects. The FRMP documents our commitment to undertake SEA and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of our plans, strategies and projects where applicable and necessary. This ensures we minimise environmental impact and maximise delivery of environmental benefits. We endeavour to manage flood risk through non-physical measures first and foremost. For example understanding risk through investigation and modelling, warning, informing and only consider physical intervention when the risk is understood and needed to help protect people and property.

We proactively work to deliver directly, or with partners, Biodiversity Action Plan habitat and intertidal habitats to mitigate or compensate impacts caused by existing and future defences. This is undertaken through our National Habitat Creation Programme which is a statutory requirement under the Habitats Regulations and Water Framework Directive.

Environmental considerations were integrated throughout the development of the Plan through the SEA process. The alignment of river basin management planning and flood risk management planning programmes and study areas has allowed consideration of how the plans interact and how Natural Resources Wales can work to deliver multiple benefits in the most efficient way, throughout the six year planning cycle.

The River Basin Management Plan (RBMP) for Dee (2nd Cycle) has been developed to the same timescales as the FRMP. The RBMP describe the pressures facing the water environment, sets objectives for rivers, lakes, estuaries, coastal and ground waters to cover the period 2015-2021, and defines the priority measures needed to improve the environment, the benefits those actions could achieve and who is best placed to deliver them. The measures seek to address the significant water management issues. The Floods Directive identifies that FRMPs and RBMPs are elements of integrated river basin management and that "the two processes should therefore use the mutual potential for common synergies and benefits, having regard to the environmental objectives of Directive 2000/60/EC (Water Framework Directive), ensuring efficiency and wise use of resources".

In order to aid integration of the Dee RBMP and FRMP, both plans set out a number of National Measures. These will help ensure that the two plans are linked and ensure that the FRMP considers the measures required to improve the water environment when undertaking flood risk management activities. It is proposed that these National Measures will be delivered, where possible, by flood risk management projects, operations and plans. The measures are set out below and demonstrate NRWs commitment to integrated natural resource management through our activities.

Flood Risk Management National Measures for RBMP & FRMP Integration

1. Identify opportunities to improve the water environment through existing programmes of work and scheme designs for Flood Risk Management. Potential synergies and conflicts between RBMP measures and FRMP measures in specific communities at risk have been identified and will be incorporated into the Communities at Risk Register (the system for prioritising FRM actions in Wales) to identify where multiple benefits can be delivered through projects. These measures are those that have been set out in the RBMP as necessary for waterbodies to achieve good ecological status or potential. We have selected those that are either potentially deliverable through FRM operations or projects or could have benefit to FRM, for example:

- i. Appropriate coastal process and sediment management
- ii. Mitigate impacts of flood and coastal defences
- iii. Mitigate impacts of navigation and dredging
- iv. Sustainable land management / agricultural practices
- v. Improve fish passage and habitat
- vi. Manage invasive non-native species (INNS)
- vii. Sustainable access and recreation management

At a project level, the options appraisal and design will consider how these measures can be incorporated or how FRM can work with, or influence others to deliver multiple benefits.

- 2. Natural Resources Wales will seek opportunities and influence others to utilise natural flood risk management measures where appropriate. FRM will work with the Area Natural Resource Management teams in the development of the Area Based Statements to ensure flood risk is integral in the consideration of Natural Resource Management, including identifying opportunities to deliver Natural Flood Risk Management. FRM will support the work of others to identify opportunities and implement measures to secure flood risk benefits as part of planned programmes of work/projects.
- 3. Implement managed realignment and intertidal habitat creation through the National Habitat Creation Programme (NHCP). We will continue with this programme of work, delivering coastal compensation habitat to offset predicted losses brought about through coastal squeeze, as identified in the SMP2's.
- 4. In waterbodies designated as heavily modified due to flood and coastal protection, mitigation for NRW owned assets and activities will be reviewed and delivered on a prioritised basis.
- 5. Contribute to the achievement of the WFD objective and favourable conservation status at priority Water Level Management sites. We will continue working with

protected sites colleagues and land owners in the delivery of Water Level Management Plans.

6. Contribute to research and development to identify best practice for managing hydromorphological pressures in the water environment.

It is also important to recognise how RBMP measures can benefit FRM and work together to optimise this. For example, RBMP measures to reduce diffuse pollution pathways (i.e. control entry to water environment) by surface run-off and drainage management, will have obvious benefits to flood risk management. Other examples include improvement to the condition of riparian zone and/or wetland habitats by habitat improvement s and fencing, or development and implementation of sediment management strategies or agricultural pollution prevention work.

3. How the Environmental Report has been taken into account.

It is important that any plan takes into account the environmental circumstances in which it is to be implemented. This is to ensure that unintended negative effects are avoided, as well as to identify the potential for delivery of multiple benefits.

The Environmental Report that was made available for public consultation alongside the draft plan documented the potential positive and negative effects of the proposed plan measures and identified mitigation and opportunities for delivery of multiple benefits through FRM operations.

The summary of the likely significant effects of the plan on the wider environment and the mitigation proposed in the Environmental Report are summarised in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1

| 1 able 3.1 | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Ecosystem Service | Effect of Plan | Description of effect | Mitigation for negative effects | | |
| Provisioning | Provisioning Services | | | | |
| Food (e.g. | Minor | Local effects from potential agricultural | All flood risk management | | |
| crops, fruit, | Negative | land-take as a result of construction | schemes will need to comply | | |
| fish) | | and /or realignment of defences. This | with European regulations to | | |
| | | must be considered against potential | allow fish and eel passage. | | |
| | | benefits where flood risk management | Opportunities for schemes to | | |
| | | measures protect agricultural land. | improve fish habitats and | | |
| | | There are potential negative effects on | passage, in line with RBMP | | |
| | | fisheries habitat caused by protection | recommendations, will be | | |
| | | measures. | sought. This mitigation will be | | |
| | | | delivered at a project level. | | |
| Regulating S | ervices | | | | |
| Water | Minor | Across the River Basin District (RBD) | | | |
| Regulation | Positive | there would be locally significant | | | |
| (e.g. flooding) | | positive effects from the reduction in | | | |
| | | flood risk to people and property. This | | | |
| | | will be as a result of implementation of | | | |
| | | actions in communities most at risk. | | | |
| Cultural Serv | ices | | | | |
| Cultural | Minor | From the potential for measures to | Through the EIA process, | | |
| Heritage | negative | disturb buried, unknown archaeology | individual schemes will, at the | | |
| | | and the effect on known features of | earliest stage identify any | | |
| | | cultural heritage and landscapes. This | designated or non-designated | | |
| | | is a precautionary assessment given | heritage assets, including the | | |
| | | the high degree of uncertainty as to | risk of unknown buried | | |
| | | the design of measures and should | archaeology. This will | | |
| | | also be considered against the | establish the potential for | | |
| | | protection afforded to cultural heritage | adverse effects as well as | | |
| | | assets from ongoing, agreed and | opportunities for | | |
| | | proposed measures. | enhancement; inform scheme | | |
| | | | options and detailed design; | | |
| | | | and identify an appropriate | | |
| | | | mitigation strategy. | | |
| Recreation | Minor | There is potential for local effects on | Through the EIA process we | | |
| and Tourism | negative | recreation and tourism in the delivery | will involve relevant interest | | |
| (including | | of flood alleviation schemes due to | groups at an early stage at | | |
| accessible | | potential loss of recreational or | the project level to identify | | |
| | | • | | | |

| Ecosystem Service | Effect of Plan | Description of effect | Mitigation for negative effects |
|----------------------|----------------|--|---|
| blue and | Tan | amenity land, disruption to public | possible impacts and agree |
| green space) | | rights of way and changes in water | scheme specific mitigation. |
| , | | levels that could affect water-based | Opportunities for schemes to |
| | | activities. | improve public access, |
| | | | interpretation and footpath |
| | | | and cycling networks, and |
| | | | enhance recreational and |
| | | | amenity land will be sought. |
| Aesthetic | Minor | There is potential for local effects | Through the EIA process we |
| Value (e.g. | negative | subject to the location, type and | will undertake early |
| landscape, | | design of flood risk management | consultation with relevant |
| seascape, | | schemes. | landscape interests and, |
| tranquillity) | | | where necessary, will |
| | | | undertake landscape and |
| | | | visual impact assessments to |
| | | | inform scheme design and |
| | | | mitigation. |
| Supporting S | orvicos | | ·······ga.io···· |
| Supporting S | | | |
| Provision of | Minor | Ongoing and agreed measures being | The mitigation approach for |
| Habitat | negative | delivered under the draft second | potential negative effects on |
| | | generation Shoreline Management | habitats and species will |
| | | Plan are supported by compensatory | involve early consultation with |
| | | habitat delivery projects under the | nature conservation interests |
| | | National Habitat Creation Programme. | to identify and assess at the |
| | | Proposed measures have the potential | |
| | | 1 Toposed measures have the potential | project level any potential |
| | | to have local effects subject to the | project level any potential impacts (including designated |
| | | · | |
| | | to have local effects subject to the | impacts (including designated |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected species) and agree scheme |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected species) and agree scheme specific mitigation. Early |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected species) and agree scheme specific mitigation. Early engagement with nature |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected species) and agree scheme specific mitigation. Early engagement with nature conservation interests will |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected species) and agree scheme specific mitigation. Early engagement with nature conservation interests will enable opportunities to be |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected species) and agree scheme specific mitigation. Early engagement with nature conservation interests will enable opportunities to be identified for habitat creation, |
| | | to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk | impacts (including designated sites of nature conservation interest and protected species) and agree scheme specific mitigation. Early engagement with nature conservation interests will enable opportunities to be identified for habitat creation, improving habitat diversity |

| Ecosystem Service | Effect of Plan | Description of effect | Mitigation for negative effects |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | passage and other habitats |
| | | | for protected species. |

The process of undertaking the SEA for both RBMPs and FRMPs was aligned which promoted integrated planning and meant that the SEA was able to influence the plan.

As a result of Natural Resources Wales undertaking environmental assessment of our operations and plans at the appropriate scale, and our implementation of the national measures described above; the FRMP has given due regard to environmental considerations. Negative effects will be minimised and the delivery of multiple benefits promoted.

4. How opinions expressed in response to the consultation on the Environmental Report have been taken into account

Formal consultation was undertaken at scoping stage with publication of the scoping report to inform the scope of the SEA. We also engaged with the Strategic Assessment Team of Natural Resources Wales, Cadw, Natural England and English Heritage as Statutory Consultees in the SEA process, throughout the development of the plan.

A detailed summary of the responses received to the consultation on the Environmental Report is in Annex 1. This sets out each response received, summarises the comments made and sets out the actions we have and are taking to address the comments. A summary of the comments received to the specific SEA questions we asked, and the actions we are taking are set out below.

Respondents were concerned at the lack of a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) being published alongside the draft plan to inform the Environmental Report and development of the plan. We agree that the HRA should have taken place alongside the preparation of the draft plan. However, limited resources and pressures on programme did not allow this. The HRA was however, undertaken alongside and influenced the preparation of the final plan. We consulted Natural Resources Wales (Strategic Assessment Team) on the draft HRA and took their comments into account in the finalising

of the HRA. The agreed HRA will be made available to inform the approval of the plan and will be published alongside the final plan.

There was concern that the proposals for monitoring the significant effects of the plan were too focussed on River Basin Management Plan monitoring, which would not pick up wider effects on environmental receptors. In response to this comment we have considered in greater detail the monitoring we propose to undertake of the significant effects of the plan and this is documented in Section 6 below.

Some respondents requested greater clarity on the avoidance, reduction and mitigation of effects on specific receptors, other respondents were confident that mitigation measures would be picked up at the project level through EIA. We have set out our commitment to undertake environmental assessment of our projects under Natural Resources Wales policy and in section 3 above.

Comments were received regarding delivery of Natural Flood Risk Management (NFRM), flood attenuation and storage. The SEA can only assess the measures that are proposed by the plan itself. We consider all of these options at a project level and the integration of environmental impact assessment in the technical and economic business cases for projects aims to influence option selection. We will undertake EIA at a project level under the relevant legislation and under Natural Resources Wales policy. As a result of the consultation responses and Natural Resources Wales' remit to consider Natural Resource Management in undertaking its operations, we have set out National FRM Measures in the Final FRMP, including seeking opportunities and influencing others to utilise natural flood risk management measures where appropriate.

5. The reasons for choosing the Dee FRMP, as adopted, in the light of the other reasonable alternatives dealt with.

The 2012 consultation into the proposed approach to FRMPs across England and Wales set out three options for developing the flood risk management plans:

 Option A. LLFAs prepare FRMPs for flood risk areas covering local sources of flood risk. Natural Resources Wales prepares FRMPs for main river, the sea and reservoirs.

- Option B. A consolidated FRMP is produced by Natural Resources Wales in partnership with others by bringing together information from separate plans.
- Option C. A FRMP is developed in partnership through one integrated process covering all sources of flood risk.

NRW published the proposed way forward in June 2013, taking account of the feedback from the consultation. The preferred approach to FRMPs was option B, a consolidated FRMP. This was our preferred approach and intention. However, once the LLFAs considered the timescales involved, they realised they would be unable to meet the timescales to consolidate with NRW. As a consequence, all LLFA's with flood risk areas opted to produce independent plans (option A). We aspire to option C in future cycles.

Measures from existing plans

A large proportion of the flood risk management plan is directly drawn from plans that are covered by previous consultations and strategic environmental assessments (Catchment Flood Management Plan, Shoreline Management Plan, Dee Tidal Strategy). These plans considered alternatives at the time and at their appropriate scale and so have not been reviewed again within the FRMP and thus the FRMP SEA.

Measures in the FRMP

The measures in the FRMP set out the future management needs across the catchment, shoreline and local sources of flood risk. At this scale they tend to set preliminary actions for the future investigation and development of business case appraisals and options. Further planning processes and supporting environmental assessments will focus on alternatives at the project level.

6. The measures that are to be taken to monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of the FRMP

This section sets out the monitoring that we propose to understand the significant effects of the plan in practice. The monitoring is focussed on those services where there are potentially significant effects (See Table 3.1). The indicators have to be practical, cost-effective and strategic, and must identify the effects of the plan itself, rather than on wider trends. Effects of significant individual projects will be monitored according to

environmental action and/or monitoring plans devised during project level environmental impact assessment.

Table 6.1 Proposed sources of information for monitoring significant effects on the environment.

| Ecosystem | Effect | Proposed Monitoring | Source | |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Service | of Plan | Indicator | | |
| Provisioning Services | | | | |
| Food (e.g. | Minor | Amount and productivity of | At a project level loss of or change in | |
| crops, fruit, | Negative | agricultural land. | land use would be recorded and | |
| fish) | | | mitigated or compensated for as | |
| | | | required. | |
| | | | WG¹ documents annual figures on the | |
| | | | number of livestock and area and type of | |
| | | | agricultural land across Wales. This data | |
| | | | could be used to demonstrate strategic | |
| | | | trends. | |
| | | Fisheries classification of | Ecological status of waterbodies – | |
| | | waterbodies | reported through the RBMPs. | |
| Regulating S | ervices | | | |
| Water | Minor | Number of people and properties | Natural Resources Wales monitoring & | |
| Regulation | Positive | at risk of flooding. | modelling data sets. | |
| (e.g. flooding) | | | | |
| Cultural Serv | ices | | | |
| Cultural | Minor | Status of listed and non-listed | Project level EIA will identify potential | |
| Heritage | negative | historic features. | effects on listed and non-listed historic | |
| | | | features and will influence design to | |
| | | | avoid, reduce or mitigate impacts. | |
| | | | Cadw undertake a monitoring and | |
| | | | management programme for state | |
| | | | owned features. | |
| | | Unknown buried archaeological | | |
| | | features or areas of | Close liaison with Welsh Archaeological | |
| | | | Trusts during project appraisal and | |
| | | archaeological potential to be | design. Provide copies of reports (e.g. | |
| | | monitored at individual project | Desk Based Studies, Investigative | |
| | | level. | Reports, Watching Brief Reports etc.) to | |

¹ Farming Facts and Figures Wales 2015, Welsh Government.

| | | Welsh Archaeological Trusts following |
|----------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | project delivery. |
| Minor | Area of loss of recreational or | User numbers for coastal path & visitors |
| negative | amenity land, disruption to public | to National Nature Reserves (visitor |
| | rights of way and changes in | counters at certain locations) Visitor numbers to National Parks. |
| | water levels that could affect | Opportunities for schemes to improve |
| | water-based activities. | public access, interpretation and |
| | | footpath and cycling networks, and |
| | | enhance recreational and amenity land |
| | | will be documented in the environmental |
| | | outcomes. |
| Minor | There is potential for local effects | Through the EIA process we will |
| negative | subject to the location, type and | undertake early consultation with |
| | design of flood risk management | relevant landscape interests and, where |
| | schemes. | necessary, will undertake landscape and |
| | | visual impact assessments to inform |
| | | scheme design and mitigation. |
| ervices | | |
| Minor | Compensatory habitat delivery | Intertidal habitat delivered against |
| negative | projects under the National | monitoring of loss of habitat due to sea |
| | Habitat Creation Programme. | level rise. Undertaken through Natural |
| | | Resources Wales' National Habitat |
| | | Creation Programme. |
| | Biodiversity Action Plan habitat | BAP habitat delivery through FRM |
| | delivery | funded projects. Natural Resources |
| | | Wales performance indicator. |
| | Equalizable concentration status of | Natural Resources Wales monitoring of |
| | | |
| | Luropean Siles | the conservation status of European Sites. Natural Resources Wales |
| | | |
| | Decree les estates de la contraction de la contr | performance indicator |
| | · | Project level EIA will monitor effects on |
| | • | habitats and species and implement |
| | • | mitigation, compensation and |
| | | monitoring, where necessary. |
| | schemes. | 1 |
| | Minor negative ervices Minor | amenity land, disruption to public rights of way and changes in water levels that could affect water-based activities. Minor negative There is potential for local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk management schemes. ervices Minor negative Compensatory habitat delivery projects under the National Habitat Creation Programme. Biodiversity Action Plan habitat delivery Favourable conservation status of European Sites Proposed measures have the potential to have local effects subject to the location, type and design of flood risk management |

Many existing programmes of monitoring will be reviewed on a regular basis by statutory reporting. However, the monitoring recommended to demonstrate the effects of

implementation of the FRMP will be reviewed in the baseline scoping for the SEA of the second cycle of Plans to be published in 2021.





Annex 1: Summary of Consultation Responses and Actions Taken.

| Organisation responding | Response to SEA | Action taken |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Natural Resources Wales – SAT | Concern that concentration of monitoring through the RBMP may not be adequate to identify unforeseen effects on other receptors e.g. European protected sites & species, land & seascape, cultural heritage etc. | We have added further details to the proposed monitoring of the significant effects. These are documented in Section 6 of this document. |
| Natural Resources Wales - SAT | Potential for measures to effect fish and their habitat should be considered a significant adverse effect. Proposed mitigation does not provide sufficient reassurance of no significant effect. Until the HRA is complete it is not possible for the SEA to make fixed conclusions on the significance of effects | The HRA considered potential effects on fish and habitat. See comments below regarding HRA. Project level EIA & HRA will consider and mitigate detailed effects. The conclusion of minor negative significant effect at the strategic scale of the plan stands. |
| Natural Resources Wales - SAT | HRA should be undertaken iteratively with the plans development. Inappropriate to approve or finalise the FRMP until all relevant assessment processes are complete | We agree that the HRA should take place alongside the preparation of the plan. Limited resources and pressures on programme did not allow this. The HRA has been undertaken alongside the prioritisation of measures and waterbodies to ensure that it influenced this process. The HRA has been produced in consultation with Natural Resources Wales (SAT) and Natural England and was in place prior to finalising and approving the plan. |

| Natural Resources Wales - SAT | HRA reliance on conclusions of outdated and/or low quality HRA processes may not be appropriate. Clarification requested on how in combination effects with other plans and projects is being considered when relying on pre-existing HRAs | HRA's for ongoing and agreed measures were approved at the time of plan and project approval. We do not propose to revisit these but have taken into account these assessments in the consideration of their in combination effects with proposed measures. This is documented in the HRA. |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Natural Resources Wales - SAT | Effects on Fisheries Habitat should be considered under Provision of Habitat topic. | Put forward for consideration under Lessons Learnt doc. Doesn't change outcome of assessment. |
| Natural Resources Wales - SAT | Consideration of effects of erosion and sedimentation on Shellfish waters not evident | This was considered under water quality and the soil and erosion regulation and documented in the Appraisal Summary Tables. These were not published but can be provided upon request. |
| Natural Resources Wales -SAT | Doesn't appear to have considered Bathing Waters under recreation. Water quality could be affected by erosion & sedimentation, dredging. Should consider timing of works to avoid bathing water season | This was considered under water quality and documented in the Appraisal Summary Tables. These were not published but can be provided upon request. |
| Natural Resources Wales -SAT | Concern regarding the use of ecosystems services topics being used in place of topics stipulated by SEA Directive. (EAT sought further clarification on this point (Telecon 5 March). SAT stated that the concern was not the principle of using ecosystems services topics but that as the Ecosystems Services SEA methodology develops we must ensure that it does not drift away from SEA receptors and topics.) | Consider in Lessons Learnt. |
| Natural Resources Wales -SAT | The SEA methodology must clearly demonstrate the interrelationships between ecosystem services, this is unclear. | Consider in Lessons Learnt. |
| Natural Resources Wales -SAT | Environmental Context: Should make reference to the WFD status of the Dee and the fact that waterbodies must achieve Good Ecological Potential. | This was recorded in the baseline against which the assessment was made. |
| Natural Resources Wales -SAT | Environmental Context: Reference should be made to the Dee Ramsar | Noted |

| Natural Resources Wales -SAT | Clarify what is considered a land use planning measure and consider their cumulative effects with other FRMP measures & with other relevant plans & programmes | This is a "Prevention Measure" and is defined in Table 9 of the Dee FRMP. This involves FRM influencing and informing land use planning undertaken by other organisations to ensure flood risk is taken into account. This was screened out from the SEA as it does not result in material effects from this FRMP. The land use plans themselves would be subject to SEA. |
|--|--|---|
| Natural Resources Wales -SAT | Cultural Heritage: Greater clarity is requested on the avoidance, reduction and mitigation of features of historic interest, archaeology and paleo-archaeology. | See table 3.1 above, setting out mitigation commitment. |
| Natural England (NE) Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | It is hoped that final FRMPs will explicitly and positively encourage integrated, multi-objective solutions, where appropriate, for the benefit of people, business and the environment | NRW and EA have undertaken integrated planning between FRMP and RBMP to ensure multiple benefits can be delivered through our operations. Please also refer to Environment Agency response document |
| Natural England Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | NE support and welcome FRMP commitment to explore opportunities for natural FRM. NE would like to further explore opportunities for NFRM where it may coincide with restoration of priority habitats or degraded ecosystems. | Noted Please refer to Environment Agency response document |
| Natural England Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | Concern at the high level of FRMPs meaning there is a lack of detail and understanding of the strategic approach to FRM. If CFMPs are withdrawn a lot of important and detailed information will be lost | The Dee CFMP is not being withdrawn, it will still inform strategic policy. Please also refer to Environment Agency response document |
| Natural England Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | Seems to be discrepancy between the Capital Investment Programme (2015-21) and the FRMP measures. | Please refer to Environment Agency response document |
| Natural England Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | FCRM capital programme 2015-2021 will deliver significant areas of priority habitat & contribute to Biodiversity 2020 target. However, there is no explicit plan in the FRMP for creation or restoration of habitat | Please refer to Environment Agency response document |
| Natural England Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | HRA will be required and should assess potential effects of measures on individual European Sites as far as reasonably possible rather than rely on the assessment of bundles of measures at a management catchment scale. | The HRA has been undertaken alongside the prioritisation of measures and waterbodies to ensure that it influenced this process. The HRA has been produced in consultation with Natural Resources Wales (SAT) and Natural England and |

| Natural England Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | An exercise should be undertaken to ensure that previous HRA (CFMP and SMP2) remains valid, taking into account changes in environmental conditions or the subsequent development of other plans or projects. HRA should consider in-combination effects for ongoing, agreed and proposed measures. | was in place prior to finalising and approving the plan. Please also refer to Environment Agency response document HRA's for ongoing and agreed measures were approved at the time of plan and project approval. We do not propose to revisit these but have taken into account these assessments in the consideration of their in combination effects with proposed measures. This is documented in the HRA. Please also refer to Environment Agency response document |
|---|---|---|
| Natural England Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | The HRA will need to consider in-combination effects for ongoing, agreed and proposed measures | We have taken into account these assessments for SMP2s and CFMPs and other relevant plans in the assessment of in combination effects in the HRA. Please also refer to Environment Agency response document |
| Natural England Comments made in relation to English part of the Dee only | It is not clear whether everything listed in the FCRM Capital Programme has already been subject to strategic plan HRA through SMP2, CFMP or TDFRMS. FRMP HRA will need to clarify this & ensure that HRA alone and in combination has been undertaken. | Please refer to Environment Agency response document |
| English Heritage | Largely insufficient detail in the FRMPs for EH to make informed commentary and analysis of impacts of proposals. They urge continuing dialogue. See full response for detail. | A detailed response was issued for Environment Agency led plans only. No comments received on Dee FRMP. Please refer to Environment Agency response document |
| WLGA | Declined to respond It is our understanding that Protection measures (especially capital schemes) will have a full EIA. We are therefore confident that any extra mitigation measures necessary will be picked up at this stage. | Protection measures will be subject to EIA under relevant legislation and Natural Resources Wales policy. |
| Tidal Dee Partnership | Opportunities for managed retreat ("making space") should be explored as an alternative to the maintenance of hard defences and as a means to improve the natural environment. Where this is not possible, habitat creation | Agree. This is what is currently undertaken through options appraisal at a project level. Where not possible our National Habitat Creation Programme seeks delivery as compensation. |

| | should be pursued to offset the impacts of flood risk management measures. | |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Coed Cadw | The conclusion drawn is that the impact on flood risk management measures will have a 'minor negative effect' on provisioning services (agriculture /fisheries) recreation and tourism, landscape and provision of habitat. No consideration has been given to the wider benefits of working with natural process at a catchment scale in relation to flood risk management. The targeted planting of trees as part of such an approach supports sustainable agriculture and fisheries, enhances biodiversity and landscape and publicly accessible woodland contributes to local tourism and promotes health and well-being. Regarding the perceived negative effect on agriculture, the Woodland Trust is collating evidence that suggests that planting woods, hedgerows and buffer strips on farms can have a significant impact on farm productivity, whether arable or livestock. We would be happy to share this work with the Environment Agency, Natural Resources Wales and other partners. | The SEA is based on the effects of the proposed FRMP measures which are very location specific and are aimed at reducing the risk of extreme events in the highest risk areas. The role of afforestation and land management techniques is important at a strategic scale in providing small scale FRM benefits and wider environmental benefits. Following on from consultation responses on the draft FRMP and the Environmental Report, we have developed National FRM Measures. One of these is to progress opportunities for natural Flood Risk Management. Please refer to the Final FRMP document for further details. |
| Tidal Lagoon Power | The ecosystems services analysis is helpful. I am unsure of the scope of assessment e.g. were broader Government policies and initiatives considered e.g. emerging Marine Planning, energy policy etc. A broader scope might have identified more opportunities and challenges for flood risk management planning to address (e.g. via proposed measures). | The SEA considered published policies and plans at a National scale, equivalent to the scale of the plan itself. |
| Tidal Lagoon Power | Please note comments above about marine planning, energy policy etc and whether other opportunities or challenges might have been identified through the SEA process. Therefore I query the list of plans, policies programmes that would have informed the SEA. | The SEA considered published policies and plans at a National scale, equivalent to the scale of the plan itself. |